RESPECTING THE

# BRITISH CONSULAR JURISDICTION IN THE LEVANT.

# (L)-REPORT from His Majesty's Consul-General at Constantinople, on the Consular Jurisdiction in the Levant.

IN reporting the practice observed by me in judicial proceedings, it may be necessary occasionally to repeat, or observe upon passages of my former Report of October, 1825, and of the "Instructions" prepared by me in September, 1829, for the guidance of His Maiesty's Consul at Smyrna.

Majesty's Consul at Smyrns.

The "Instructions" relate to jurisdiction in differences between British subjects; in those between British and foreign subjects not Ottoman; and finally between British

The same practice is probably observed in those matters at the several British consulates in the out-ports, but a different mode of proceeding has prevailed at Constantinople, in suits between British and foreign subjects, in consequence, perhaps, of the European governments not having established Consuls at Constantinople, with the exception of Great British, where the establishment of a Consul-General dates from the year 1805.

It would appear also that the Consuls at the out-ports are permitted to exercise greater authority in cases of differences with Ottoman subjects, than the legations can claim the exercise of here, the Russian legation excepted.

It may be supposed that the Ottoman authorities are naturally more jealous of their rights at the seat of government, than they may be in the provinces.

I shall therefore state the practice observed here in the three divisions of proceedings

above stated.

The French and Venetians having made the first commercial establishments in Turkey, it is probable that the mode of proceeding in judicial affairs prevailing at the several consulates in Turkey, have been adopted in imitation of those prescribed by the French and Venetian governments, or, with some, have had a common origin in their analogy with the local commercial courts which existed in most of the ports of the Mediterraness, composed of merchants, who, during the exercise of their temporary functions, were designated Consuls.

A French Consul in Turkey is but the president of the court, and has no vote in its decisions, except when the two commissioners, whom he is authorized by the "ordon-nances" of his government to select, differ in opinion; he has then the casting vote.

nances of his government to select, differ in opinion; he has then the casting vote.

The practice of choosing assessors appears to have obtained, with some of the British Consuls, but as the charter does not invest with authority others than the Am-

bassadors and Consuls, and as the Levant Company had not delegated authority to assessors in their bye laws, I considered it necessary to warn the Smyrna Consul in the "Instructions," that the judicial authority, as well as responsibility, were with the Consul, and not with the american, whom he might consider it necessary to call to his

It may be considered that the practice of proceeding in differences between suitors of different nations, upon the principle that the plaintiff should follow the court of the defendant, was generally observed at the consulates in the provinces, long before it was

completely admitted at the capital.

These having been no Consuls here from the European governments, the Ambanadors or chiefs of the second logations who had to interfere for the settlement of differences between the subjects of their respective governments, encouraged a resort to arbitrations, or agreed in the nomination of mixed commissions, each legation naming an equal number

This practice partially prevailed until the year 1817, when the present mode of proreading was adopted by the tacit consent of the several legations. The Russian legation was the first to propose a departure from mixed commissions, and in the year 1814 the French charge d'affaires protested against the pertension of the Russian authority, with reference to a claim then existing, though the change effected in the year 1817 was proposed by the French Ambassader, the Marquis de Rivière.

It would have been preferable, perhaps, to have formed courts similar to those before alfoded to, existing in France and Spain, consisting of merchants of different nations,

provided by mombers of the several legations, holding weekly sittings, to hear and decide upon suits, according to some law framed or chosen for a common rule. But there is much reason to apprehend that the Russian legation would not have consented to an

approach to any thing of the nature of a Hanne corporation,

In accordance with the principle now admitted in proceedings for the settlement of differences between subjects of different states, it is the national law of the defendant in the cause that governs, though it may probably be admitted that the English Consuls have sometimes found it necessary or convenient to assimilate their proceedings to those of their foreign colleagues, and may not always have decided according to English

The several factories, or assemblies of merchants settled in the ports of the Levant, having each preserved as a minorable connector, appear to farm so many dataset colores, of which the chiefs of the legations at Constantinople may be considered the governors, and as the policy of some governments has induced these to direct their agents to assume as much authority so possible from the supulations existing with the Porte, the agents of other governments may also have been led to form an exaggerated estimate of these own authority.

The charter granted to the late Levant Company, giving authority to "perces," as well as to "administer full, speedy, and expedite instices to the Company's trusters and agents in the Levant, the English Consuls may have inferred that their enthority was

equal to that exercised by their foreign colleagues.

#### Civil Jurisdiction.

Differences between persons subject exclusively to British jurisdiction.

In these differences I have generally proceeded in the manner recommended in the

" Instructions " sildremed to the Smyrna complate.

In many cases I have urged the parties to subrast their differences to arbitration, and in those which appeared more particularly to require that mode of estilement, I have occasionally, when it has been declined, named two assessors, one designated by each of the litigants, authorizing them to examine the claim set forth and to report thereon collectively or separately, in writing, or verbally at a subsequent meeting at which I presided, and decided on the case after hearing the parties with the samesors.

The Ionians often decline a reference to arbitration from apprehension of an improper

extreme of the power granted to the ampure.

I have generally been assisted by assessors, always where the usage of trade was

necessarily to be consulted, or where there was examplication of accounts.

In cases of minor importance I have decided alone, by decree, after examining the documents presented and hearing the parties. Other ones I have of late referred to the Vice-Consul for anneable adjustment. Differences between masters of ships and their crees are naturally in his department.

In differences between Brilish merchants I have generally addressed my opinion to them in epistolary form, which has always been conformed to. When they set for others under a power of attorney they would of course require for their own justification a pour

tive decision or sentence.

My decisions have, in almost every case, been according to English law, according to

the best of my judgment.

The questions which have required most attention have related to claims on sessels, and masters of ships, protested bills, bankrupteins, attachments on property, or meaniters.

The first, classes appears reserve, has occasionally created usech arcsisty when it has been attempted to render the ship responsible for the acts of the master, and to detain

a tousel, arising from any doubts when the law authorized an immediate recourse

Protested bills. The neglect or laches of the holders of bills, want of notice of protest, des, have also required much consideration. Three years ago I had to decide in a case where much stress was laid on the absence of the necessity to give notice of protested bills to the drawers of them, and legal opinious were procured from Landon, but as it often happens, the case was differently stated by both parties, and not quite correctly by either. I decided for the necessity of the notice, and released the drawer from responsibility; my sentence was, I have reason to believe, submitted to the consideration of the gentleman consulted in London by the specumbing party, and though notice of appeal had been given, it was not pursued.

Experience has served to convince me that the necessity of giving "notice" should

never have been dispensed with.

In bandruptcies, I have guided myself as far as circumstances would allow by the "Laws and Regulations for proceedings in matters of Bunkruptcy," published in Malia, the 1st of November, 1815. I have considered myself the de facto commissioner, and having appointed provisional trustees, in due time assignees have been chosen by the

Compositions have generally been effected, otherwise I have given a release to the bankrupt on receiving a certificate containing the requisite number of signatures with amount of debt, attending latterly, in that respect, to the 192nd motion of the Comoli-

duting Bankrupt Act.

In fulures of shopkeepers or other small traders, provisional trustees have been dispensed with. The property having been taken care of by the Cancelleria at the request of the creditors, has been made over under an inventory to the assignees afterwards named

Sequesters are permitted by the foreign authorities here with two much famility, on the consideration that if the claimant give security for the amount sequestered, the periors in

whose hands be attaches property may consider the attachment hinding.

When an attachment is notified I consider myself called upon to communicate the demand to the third party, and wait for an application from one of the parties before I interfere to confirm or dissolve the sequester.

When application is made to confirm a sequenter I have found it expedient to regulate my proceedings rather by French than by English law.

In England a creditor appears to have greater facilities for seining the person than the property of his debtor. The French law facilitates the latter mode of proceeding, which various considerations recommend for adoption in this country. The want of proper places to serve so persons, the inhumanity of placing debtors in Turkish prisons in sees plague, and the expense attending arrests at their houses, when that mode would be female, need only be mentioned.

I know not if there is any English treasise on the subject of attachments of property, or sequesters, but I have not met with any authority for them before a judgment has been obtained against the debtor, when a sensure in smannion may, I believe, be-

tenority lo.

By the French code "misios-arrête on oppositions," may precede the "misies-

A creditor on presenting a title in proof of data to the judge of the district, or on satisfying him of the existence of a claim, can obtain an attachment in property equal to the amount of it, and after judgment is given, claim execution of it on the arrested

I have considered that after a commany examination of a claim and being entiated that a debt existed, I might authorise a sequenter on property to the amount of it, though

I was not prepared to give immediate judgment on the claim.

\* Claims are often midd against the former, for which the elaimant presents to hold his vessel responsible; for annufactory of goods obligans, has from but strongs of goods, reasons fool of other resents and reverse demogs, debts for account of the vessel, deviation from the course of the vessel, for account of the vessel, deviation from the course of the vessel, but claims of this nature have very soldern been presented against English vessels. In all the dains above described, his account of

the trace has been appeal for.

In an investigation belonging to the island of Males, are frequently subject to claims for data.

The Lamb Nation of the lamb is expected to perfectly a few and have a register arrived to be keen a lamb, and have a register for the lamb is required. The register arrived to provide a few and the lamb is considered to provide a provide a few and the lamb to those accorded to come advanced for absolute wants in squaring the safety of the

As here have been generally love to all mants in these cases, they have consequently been almost always acts and to come and where here has been guided by the Franch rates in our of the contribution of the sales among the creditors, according to the deflorent degrees of privage.

The resuld having been previously attracted, on the demand of a creditor, has been soid, when the dabt was not initialled, at public ancions, under my docume.

If a vessel were no cause damage to specifier at sea, to the Archipelage, or the Black Sea, a complaint amount to being a critical here by one or both of the resulters.

In cases of this kind, where there has been a cargo on theard the vessel, and that it was in transit through this channel to another port. I have refused to interfere, further than to note on the shift papers that there was a circum against it, stating its nature.

18 2

Independent of the considerations already stated, it may be acknowledged to be necessary to allow such facilities in a place where there is constantly a number of persons engaged in commercial transactions who are not permanently fixed or established, Differences between Partners I have strongly insisted on referring to arbitration.

# Suits between British and Fureign Subjects, not Ottoman.

Differences between British and other European subjects are invariably submitted to commismons composed of merchants and appointed by the protecting authority of the defendant in the mit.

That of the plaintiff designates one commissioner, who is accepted and named with two commissioners chosen by the presiding authority.

Well-founded objections against them from either of the parties are attended to. When there are claimants of different nations, which frequently happens in suits regarding vessels sold for the benefit of creditors and the payment of bottomry bonds, one or more commissioners are added to represent the most important of the additional claims when it can be conveniently effected,

In bankruptcy cases if the foreign ereditor were not to admit my decision as commissioner on his claim, I consider that he might apply for the appointment of a commission to try it,

A majority of votes decides. The dissenting commissioners may state to the sentence their opinion.

The sentences of communication are decreed for execution by the authority which appointed the commissioners.

These commissioners, (British) being courts of first instance, the appointment has been decreed by me.

In British cummissions, that is say, when the defendant being a British subject, the commissioners have been named by the British authority, as some of them are frequently foreigners, particularly when the defendant is an Ionian, and then all may be an, it is not to be apported that their documen will always be in conformity to English law or practice.

I have novertheless considered myself bound to decree the execution of the mentence;

if it were appealed against, it would necessarily be reformed by English law.

Commissions are appointed upon a request from the plaintiff to that effect communicated through the channel of his own protecting authority.

The Porte having allowed the exercise of jurisdiction in such cases by the different logations, the British authorities have considered themselves competent upon that request

This practice commenced long before the dissolution of the Levant Company, and has continued to be observed.

## Differences between British and Ottoman Subjects.

The 24th Article of the Capitalations relates to lawsuits between British and Ottoman subjects, and provides for the intervention of the Ambassador or Consul at the trial, though it does not appear to convey to them the right of participating in the decision, which the thed Article does in criminal cases.

The English party has always the assistance, on such occasions, of one of the

interpreters of His Majosty's embassy, who represents the Ambassador or the Count.
The Musaulman isw governs all the subjects of the Sultan indiscriminately in differences between each other, and whatever degree of authority may be granted to the heads of the different Christian communities existing in the empire over the members of them, their decisions and those of their delegates may be successfully resisted by appeal to the Muhometan law,

The Greek may cite his fellow Greek to the Mahkeme in opposition to a decision of the Patriarchate in civil suits.

The Capitulations allow to British subjects the right of claiming to be judged at the Court of the Grand Visir, where it is supposed that the influence of the Ambassador may guard him against the effects of the vessal practices to which he might be exposed in the

The late Levant Company attempted to procure some additional articles to the Capitalations to provide for settlement, by arbitration, of differences in commercial matters between British and Ottoman subjects. Sir Robert Liston was authorized by Ho Majest's Government in the year 1819, to assist in obtaining the concession, and I was directed by the Company to definy the charge for presents that it might have been considered proper to make to the Ottoman Ministers on the occasion. But the Ottoman

Ministers stated, mequivocally, the impossibility of according to the proposal.

A mode of proceeding in such matters had previously commenced, which has since been much observed, that, however imperfect is itself and open to objections, has served to place the settlement of commercial differences, to a certain degree, in the hands of the

The Porte refers commercial differences to the examination of the head " Dogester." The proceedings are as follows :--

The head customer cullects a number of merchants of different nations, who meet at his office on a fixed day, when they hear the parties in the came, appointing a second day for a final hearing of it if necessary.

An " Ham " or report is then transmitted to the Ports by the Dogamer, which is supposed to contain the opinion or decision of the majority of the assembled merchants,

These opinions are understood to be according to commercial law and range, and as the French commercial code is more generally consulted by foreign toerchants, its rules

thay be considered as being observed on such occasions.

When the Doganier's "Ilam" has been communicated to the Frank party, it has occasionally been found to represent incorrectly the opinions of the merchants, and at the same time to contain representations of his own condemnatory of the Prank.

Here her the imperfection of the proceeding at the Doganier's court, to obviate which as much as possible the Frank merchants have of late stated in writing their opinions, to which the respectable Ottoman Christian merchants have subscribed, when there was no apprehension of the Turkish President, the Doganier, being displaced at their

This decision or opinion is generally submitted to, but there is no doubt that a Mustulman might at first refuse to appear before the Doganier, and could always appeal from his " Elmu" to the Low.

It has happened that Ottoman Christians have been permitted to carry their appeal against it to the Grand Visir's court, where, however, it might generally be expected that the " Hom" would be confirmed.

English subjects might also appeal from it in virtue of the 24th Article of the Capitulations, which allows them access to the Grand Viair's court, and it part of their law,

The British authorities would be applied to for enforcing execution of the "Ham," and they would feel themselves bound to support the appeal. Such appeals have not occurred, probably from the prevailing conviction that the "Ham" would be confirmed

After that confirmation the British authorities would have no cause for objecting to the decision, and if they did not execute it the Ottoman authorities would.

## Appeal.

At Constantinople eight days is the shortest term allowed by the foreign authorities for giving notice of appeal. I have considered that if the appeal was not intimated on the 14th day after the communication of the sentence, I was authorized to proceed to the final execution of it. In case of appeal a provisional execution has always been

In appeals from consular decisions I have confirmed or reformed them, sometimes with, oftener without, assessors, both in suits exclusively British and enixed suits. As the appeals to France and Russia in mixed suits admit of no intervention on the part of the protecting authority of the foreign appellant or appellee, I have considered we might also proceed exclusively in them.

In appeals against my own sentences or decrees, I defend them by explaining in a more detailed manner the considerations declared in the sentence, and by exposing the errors of the appellant's objections when they exist. His Excellency the Ambassador then decides according to his own judgment.

Appeals from the decisions of French, Russian, Sardinian, and some other commissions are carried to courts in those countries.

The Austrian legation names a commission of covation, after which a commission of appeal may I believe be applied for. That legation has not yet published the rule by which it is guided in appeals.

In appeals from British commissions, two new members are added to the commission which is authorised to examine and report, not to decide, upon the objections of the appellant. I examine the report and submit my own opinion on the appeal to the Ambassander, who then decides thereon.

. .

# Execution of Sentence.

It has already been stated that sentences, though appealed from, must be provisionably executed. They have been executed in the manner recommended in the "Instructions" addressed to the Smyron consulate, by deposit of the amount, satisfactory security, or arrest of debtor : recourse to the latter mode has very rarely been found necessary.

When sentences in appeal from my decisions in first instance are notified, I direct the execution of them. When attachments, or deposits of property, have been effected during the suits, I order execution upon them. It has very seldom been necessary to arrest debtors: when it has occurred, the obstimate and ill-intentioned debtor has soon relented, and the creditor, when he has been enturied of the poverty of his debtor, has consented to his release to avoid the expense of imprisonment.

From the preceding statement it will appear that the Levant Consuls have exercised authority in the several matters referred to them, and it may be salded that the interests of the King's subjects, residing or frequenting the ports of Turkey, require that they should so interfere, is order to svoul the inconvenience and injury which would small

from an appeal to the tribunals of the country.

The charter granted to the late Levant Company appears to provide only for the settlement of differences between "merchants" of the Company and others [merchants?) not of the Company in "plaints begun and to be begun at the places indicated, and allows also the Consule to energie lawful authority in "all and all manner of questions, "discords, and strifes among them," and to execute all things prescribed and appointed by the Company, &c.

The Consula have, however, exercised authority beyond those lizzits, considering, perhaps, that they were competent to proceed in jurisdiction so far as the Turkish capita-

lations permitted them-

They have judged in questions, originating in other countries, not in Turkey, between the King's subjects, not morehants, and between British and foreign subjects; they are expected to supply the place of all our courts of law; claims which in England would appertain exclusively to the Court of Admiralty, may be brought before them. As the agents in the Levant of some governments appear to have an extreme authority, equal to the cognizance of suits of every kind, an equivalent exercise of authority is expected in return from others.

The necessity of defining and fixing the extent of the authority of our Consuls in

A code of procedure, or fixed rules for proceedings, is, no doubt, requisite, but it may also be useful to do something to facilitate their interpretation of the law, for it is to be apprehenced that their intended decisions in equity have sometimes been contrary to

The various English treatises on parts of commercial law, are not so intelligible to the commen reader, as to the legal student. French Cansals have facilities in this respect,

from the classification of their national codes.

If it might not be practicable to draw up a set of rules similar to the articles of the French code, in accordance with English law, for application to all cases, a brief and familiar compendium of commercial law would asset the Convals in applying those rules which might be made, and in their reference to the works published on the several parts of law, which are often perplexing, from the quotations of opposite decisions of our Judges, without always stating that which has overraled. Those treation are in the hands of most of our merchants. Legal opinions are, as before stated, applied for to England, and even foreign practitioners here sometimes quote from Chitty and other

I have purposely adverted to the law of bills of exchange, with reference to "notices of process, in which is is desirable that a positive rule were prescribed. The same

I consider that in bankruptcles, "the laws for regulating, &c.," published in Malta, to which I have alluded, might with some commitments and changes, purhaps exceed for by the new Act. (Consolidating Bankrupt Act.) be rendered applicable to the Levent. leaving to the Consula the means of dispensing with the nomination of pervisional tractices, when, in failures of little importuses, it may appear convenient to proceed at once to the election of anagueous. The Consul, through the Caseellier, might place under and, in the cases, the property of the bankrupt, to be made over to the provisional trustees, or to the andgrees, as it might be.

The Consul would have to declare the failure, on a petition from creditors, after examination of the statement. The 4th chapter of the regulations might be his rule, in

relation to transfers of property after us set of bankruptcy.

Arbitrations.—It is desirable that the mode of proceeding of the ampire were precisely fixed; some of the rules of the French code might be adopted.

In partnership concerns, arbitration is certainly the most desirable mode of settling differences between the partners. The French code randers it obligatory. It is not perhaps to be apprehended that arbitration would often be declined by the partners. If it should happen, the Consul might be authorized to name two commissioners, reserving the costing vote to himself, if a difference control between them. The sentence might be without appeal. The communicates might appear persons to elocidate accounts of the partnership, the charge for them to be defrayed by the party declaring submission to

Sequesters.- The authority granted by the French code, "Sabies-arrets on oppositions," raight be meduly extended to the Levent Consuls, who might be empowered to authorise attachments, after the exhibition of a proof of debt, or on the shewing of a well-

founded claim, when the person against whom it exists is not a fixed rendent; the amount to be attached to be fixed by the Canad in both russ.

Stoppage in transita.—It is desirable that "constructive possession" were well defined, or limited by some rules. The article of the Franch code, under the head, "Revendication," might be residered adaptable to the Levant, placing those affecting property in the possession of bankrapts is accordance with the regulations to be made in cases of bankrupicy.

Claims opened Vessels.- The practice observed here in these cases has been stated, and it may be considered that many of the articles of the French commercial cods, under the heads "Navires et Batimens," and "de la Saine et Vents des Navires," might be usefully applied to the Levant.

#### Proceedings in Consular Courts.

It may be useful to fix rules for as speedy a termination of the suits as can be effected consistently with the ends of justice, but much must be left to the Consul in

The Consuls might be authorized to decide annuarily in claims of small amount, after the hearing of the parties; on a consideration of the value of money, the sum might

not exceed \$L sterling

It is proposed, that after the first memorial is presented by the clument, each party may produce two, before the Consul can be competent to declare the pleading closed. The Consul may afterwards, so soon as be considers it convenient, call upon the plaintiff to produce his anal statement, to be communicated to the defendant, whose reply thereto would be communicated to his adversary.

The Consuls may be authorised to fix in their courts the delay allowed for answering to writings during the plendings, observing the most convenient term used at his place of tondence by other Consula. It may be difficult to establish a general rule in this respect

There is no fixed rule in this consulate, but the suitors are given to consider that they must present their envers as outly as possible; and there is perhaps less delay than in other courts, where the suitor, considering that he may defer his reply until the expiration

of the prescribed term, generally waits for it.

Consols might have authority to uses a subptena to witnesses, and fines for not appearing might be fixed. It may perhaps be expected that come but turbulent Englishmen would object to appear when requested. Innium have sometimes objected, from religious scruples, which have been satisfied by a priest of their own church, before whom the outh is generally administered. Ignorant lonious often consider that making an outh is offending against the commandescol

After receiving the memorials closing the pleading, the Control abould fix an early

day for hearing the parties, and deciding between them.

Assessors.-It would perhaps produce inconvenience, if the character of judge or Consul were given to thece. I do not consider that our merchants would desire to be judged by each other. The practice of the French consular courts has not served to induce them to wish for a change in the mode of proceeding.

According to the present practice of this consulate, they are not judges nor jurges, for they have no vote. Their assistance and advice are applied for when the Consul may consider that their experience in the usage of trade may be useful; their attendance is voluntary and gratuitous; if it were rendered compulsory, remaneration would be expected. It may be advisable to let it remain as it is, voluntary. A respected Control will always find the respectable members of the mercantile community over which he presides, willing

Appeal.—The "Report" of October, 1825, and the "Instructions" of 1829, trust on appeals. With reference to the Report of 1825, the Dili paragraph appears to require explanation. The Vice-Consula or agents therein alluded to, are those who are in the immediate neighbourhood of the Consul, some of whom are appointed by him as agents; Mitilette, Scala Neva, Sumos, Scio, were more particularly referred to, who ought to report to the Consul at Smyrna, the progress of their proceedings in saits or differences which are not of a trilling nature, so that the Consul oxight advise and direct them in their proceedings; and in cases of great importance, stranding of vessels, &c., proceed in person to superintend them, if it appeared necessary. Their execution of decisions should be strictly provisional. The term of fourteen days might be allowed for giving notice of appeal, and another term, according to the distance of the parties from the court of appeal, for prosecuting it, which need not exceed two months for Turkey. A longer term should be allowed in claims from England, in which the charant is represented by an agent, who might give notice of appeal in the usual time, and want for authority from his principal to proscents it; four months might then be tillowed.

The Consuls have occasionally made interiocutory decrees on incidents of the suit, during the plendings, and it has been expected that they should not be appealed from until the definitive judgment was given. When such decrees ore preparatory, or are of a executive nature, those ordering surveys of property, and the sale of that which is of a pershable nature, they need not be subject to append, nor would such an append be entertained. But interlocutory decrees on incidents of the suit may often serve to prejudge the principal matter of it. Such decrees might be subject to appeal, and I do not consider that the progress of the suit need always be returned by the appeal

Erection of Sentence. Former reports relate to provisional execution of the sentence in fast instance, and the practice observed here in executing those of first and second instance, has been stated.

In France there is no appeal from the sentence of a commercial court, when the

irregular proceedings of the Turkuh authorities to this respect. It may be only necessary

principal claimed is not above one thousand france. This rule might be observed at the principal consulates, but perhaps not at all. The Consul might, in such suits, proceed more commarily than in those of greater importance, and thereby prevent the costs becoming excessive. Investing the Consula with greater authority against the property of delities, would tend much to obviate the necessity of arresting their

If there was no appearance of fraud in the conduct of the debtor, the expenses of his imprimement should always be at the charge of the prosecutor, and a release subsequently granted under some well explained provisions of the Insolvent Act, if the creditor did not

in the mean time compound with his debtor.

As the formula who resort to the Levant for the purposes of trade are generally possessed of property in their ulands, which is out of the reach of Levant courts, some understanding might possibly be effected with the lonion government for facilitating a recourse against it to creditors in virtue of Levant consular decisions.

#### Criminal Jurisdiction.

The 42nd Article of the Capitulations grants to the Ambassador and Controls the right of interference when British subjects are tried for criminal offences, and to "Acer and decide" together with the Turkish Judge.

The 10th Article establishes the right of the Ambusador to decide in cases of

Both articles relate, no doubt, to causes in which the accusers are Ottoman subjects, for though there he no article in our Capitalations respecting criminal acts committed by British subjects against each other, yet as the French and Russian treaties clearly provide for such cases, and for the exercise of jurisdiction therein by their Ambassadors and Cousule, the privilege has been considered common to the several legations accordited at the Porte, and entrainal accountions from subjects of the European governments are submitted to the protecting authority of the accused party, according to the principle observed in civil jurisdiction, but there is not, in the causanation of the complaint, any intervention on the part of the protecting authority of

The Consuls at the outports have also had to interfere to criminal cases when the accusing party has been Ottoman, as well as in those where the parties were British, or

British publicats and those of other European governments.

The Consuls appear to exercise, at present, a greater degree of authority in police over the subjects of their respective governments, then is allowed to the foreign authorities

at the capital, the Russian legation always excepted.

The Porte first made objections to the interference of the legations in police matters in the year 1818 and 1819, upon the occasion of an arrest which was effected by the French and British authorities, collectively, of a hand of thieves and vagabonds of various nations, concerning whose proceedings information had been given to the French embassy. The Russian legation having protested strongly against the pretensions there set forth by the Ports, and all the other legations having remonstrated in more moderate terms, the fareign authorities continued to proceed unusolated as they had

When the accusors were not Ottoman the case was left exclusively to the foreign authorities which it concerned, the Turkish police officers always assisting them in their proceedings when applied to, and permitting the arrested persons to be lodged

When Franks were arrested on the accession of Ottomas subjects, notice of the arrest was immediately given to their protecting authorities, to whom the accessed persons, when claimed, were delivered up, on an understanding that they would be bordecoming for trial, at which the interpreture assisted to represent the chiefs of the

protecting legations.

Soon after the publication of the treaty of Adrianople, the Porte published police regulations, and I bettere communicated them to the several legations, with the exception it may be supposed of that of Russis, for as they were decisred to be generally applicable, the declaration would have been considered a contraventian of the 7th Article of that treaty, which places Russian subjects under the exclusive jurisdiction of their Minister and Consula.

I know not if any notice was taken of the notification by the several foreign ministers. It is probable that the Porte was left to suppose, from their silence, that the regulations were not considered by them as applicable to foreign subjects, unless they were enforced

in conjunction with their protecting authorities.

The Porte has, however, precorded gradually to establish an almost exclusive exercise of police in matters regarding foreign subjects not Russian, and it may be added that the manner in which the Turkish authorities have proceeded on most occasions has tended to justify with the public the exaction by Russia of the extent of jurisdiction stepulated in the trenty of Adrianopie-

The practice formerly observed was the Britain authorities in matters of police is no longer attended to, and we cannot be said to be in the full enjoyment of the privage

conferred by the 47nd Article of the Capitalations.

It would be endless to state the various instances which might be mentioned of the

to specify some of the most flagment.

In the month of February, 1834, an Ionian, accused by an Austrian subject of having in his pomension property stolen from him, was, on his application, arrested by a police officer and longed in a Turkish prisee. The Austrian then stated the case to me, and on sending to claim the arrested Innian, the transfer of him to the consulate was refused, nor was he surrendered to the British dragoman until after a summary examination of the case by the Capitan Pasha, by whose order the bastinado was inflicted with such severity, that the Ionian remained lame for several weeks. In this case the Pasha had no jurisdiction, for the parties were foreigners. If he considered that he had jurisdiction, he should have attended to the 42nd Article of the Capitalations. The diagonan was not present at the examination, and he did not know that the praceer had been pusished until be was delivered over to bim.

In the month of July following, two natives of Malta, father and son, of the name of Damata, were arrested and lodged in the prison of the Beraskier Parks, on suspicion of baving been concerned in robbing a Greek church. No notice was given by the Paster to the British authorities of their imprisonment, but the men found means, after some days' detention, to make known their allustion. It appeared that Dansata and his son had been bustinadoed to extort confession, but they persisted in asserting their innocence;—their liberation was not obtained until some works afterwards. There was no

doubt of their innocence.

Is the month of August last, an Ionian captain having been insulted by some Turkish soldiers of a village on the Hosphorus, he caused one of them to be arrested by the police guide, who having takes him to the etation, both parties were next by district of the Bospherus, by whose kohaye, or agent, the complaint was examined. The subdier confermed that he had maintened the lonian, but stated in his own justifiestion, that language had been addressed to him, which, as a Mussulman, he was bound to resent. The Ionian was bartinadood by order of the kelmyn, though he declared that he was a British protected person. Upon enquiry it resulted that not the least blams was impossible to the Ionian, who is known as a possesside person, and is generally respected. He never obtained any degree of satisfaction for the ill-treatment he complained of.

It appears, therefore, that while the Hundan legation has an extended exercise of jurisdiction, the Porte is endesveuring to deprive the other legations of the enjoyment of

those more moderate rights which were granted by encient treaties.

A natural consequence of depriving them of such printeger will be the lecrense of Rossian dependants in the Levant.

My interference in such cases may now be considered as limited to remonstrating against the irregular proceedings of the Turkish authorities.

in cases which have been brought before me, I have always reported the most important of them to His Majesty's embusy, and have acted upon its advice or instructions

When an accountion is laid, I either send the parties to the Cancellarian for their depositions to be taken, or I interregate them mysels. I have always done so in cases which were to be submitted to the Ambanadar, to whom I transmit, on tach occasions, the depositions and interrogatories, explaining thereon, and submitting suggestions for the disposal of the case, as I do also in cases transmitted from the consulate. Grave cases have not been frequent. One occurred about two years ago, when a native of Malta was account of having committed a robbery in one of the Roman Catholic churches of Pers, under Austrian protection; it was the amond time that he was brought before me for robbing in churches. On the last occasion the evidence was strong agount him, and he was, moreover, a man of bad character, having no known means of supporting himself. He was imprisoned for twelve months in the Bagnio, at the expiration of which term he was released, and he was warned that he would be left to the rigour of Turkish law on any

A short time ago three louisns were arrested at Smyrns, on an accusation of bar-entry, committed three years ago. The principal of them was the owner and marier of an louisn vessel, that arrived here in the year 1839, from a port of Syris, which

is was stated had been plandered, during the voyage, by the row of a pirate boat, of sondry bags of money and halos of goods, shipped on board the vessel at Betrout. The consequent of the money suspecting the truth of the captain's statement, requested that the cover should be assumined respecting the circumstances of the voyage, which was done, but nothing was elicited to authorize proceedings against the captain. Two louise bostuce actiled at Smyran, having lately declared that the missing bales were entried to Smyran in their boat from the lonian vessel with the knowledge of the captain, he, his son, and another longer were arrested by order of Mr. Consul Brant, who having transmitted to me the depositions relating to the accusation, they were submitted to the consideration of the Ambassador, and his Excellency authorized the transfer to Cerfs of the three languages for trail. The two boatsets have been sent with them.

In cases of essentis, as the aggressor has generally been arrested by the local pulies at the request of the complainant, a compromise has often been made between the parties, before my examination of the case was completed; and I have, on many occursors,

recommended to the accused party to conciliate his accuser.

Certain cases which might, perhaps, strictly be termed robberies, I have considered as

andle appropriations of property, and have treated them as civil actions.

Persons against whom other petty offences have been proceed, have been punished by imprisonment for a short time, according to the nature of the offence. Since the destruction by fire of the building contiguous to the British palace, which served for a prison, this mode of pusishment has seldon been reserted to, in order to avoid the necesaity of making use of Turkish prisons,

Drunken sailors have other been arrested by the Turkish police guards, and I have authorized their detention until they were able to return peaceably to their ships.

I have also authorized a short impresonment of turbulent seamen on complaints from

Feeling that the Consuls do not possess the requisite authority in criminal cases, I bave, as it may be naturally expected, deemed it pradent to use power with as much moderation as possible, but I have considered it necessary not to allow offences to pass. unneticed, for experience has shown that the certainty of punishment, though slight, serves usuch to deter from a repetition of these,

That the Consult should have a degree of authority granted to them for the cognizance of certain offences, and for their interference in others, appears to be absolutely necessary. The want of it is becoming more felt by them in consequence of the increasing resurt to the Levant of the lowest cleanes of natives of the foreign islands and

The same cause has, no doubt, occasioned an increase of official care to the Consula

of other nations.

It is much to be regretted that criminal cases of every kind could not be referred to Turkish courts, with the intervention of the foreign protecting authorities, according to tenor of the 42nd Article of the British Capitulations; but experience has unfortunitely proved how little the Turkish anthorities are to lat confided in for a due observance of such expolations, or for a moderate exercise of their own power where Christians are implicated. Russia might not, it may be apprehended, consent to such a compromise with her own exclusive rights, and if the arrangement were not general, it might be inexpedient, for

other considerations, in substitution is the present, that authority he given to

the Consults-

To take cognitance of small offences and misdemessors, and to punish the offenders

by one or imprisonment:

To send away from their districts to Malta or the Ionian talenda, perverse offenders and vagahoods not having any apparent occupation or means of subsistence, unless they give estimactory accuraty for their future good conduct. To could to those islands persons accused of grave offences when the Consul is estimized that guilt attaches to the accused party, making it incumbent on the Governments of the inlands to which the prisoner may belong, to provide for the passage and expenses of witnessee to under at

Those Governments might possibly be thereby induced to adopt measures for recalling from the Levant the numerous varshunds who are now infesting the con-parts of

Tarkey. The consent of the Ambanuder for the removal to Malta or Corfu of persons accused of grave consent about he applied for by the Courses of Turkey. Those of Egypt and Byrn, would represent the cases to His Majesty's Agent and Consul-General. (Sugned)

Constantiauple. 23rd December, 1835. JOHN CARTWRIGHT, CONNUL-GENERAL.

(2.)-LETTER from the Secretary to the late Levent Company to Flacount Pulmaraten, on Countier Jurisdiction in the Levent.

Terringion, Desen, December 5, 1835.

In compliance with your Lordship's desire, conveyed to use by Mr. Fox Strangenys, that I should forward to your Lordship any suggestions that I may have to offer as to what may be most expedient to be done for the better regulation and definition of the Civil and Control Lordship. and Criminal Junisdiction of His Majoste's Consuls in the Levent, bearing in mind the probable effects of the surrender of the Levant Company's charter. I have the honour to submit such opinions as are the result of the best consideration which I have been able to give to the subject.

Having for many years been the secretary of the Company, even down to the moment of its dissolution, I am aware that much more of useful suggestion may be expected from me than I am able to offer. Therefore, I pray your Lordship, in kindness, to consider, that during my time, I might say during the Company's time, not a title of the difficulties now presented, from the Lavant, for your Landship's notice, were presented for that of the Company.

And that, ever since the currender of the charter, I have been retired from London,

and from all connection with Turkey.

I doubt not that things are much changed. Formerly, in the best days of the trade, I mean when, being in the hands of a few opulent poerchants, it was not over-done, goods were sold, in compliance with standing orders of the Company, for ready money only, in Turkey, by the "Factors,"

who not being allowed to trade on their own account, were, literally, the servants of their principals at home; hence disputes were not heard of, and formal applications for consular interference most have been of most rare occurrence. Latterly, even British subjects settled in Turkey, being members of the Company, and sworn to be governed by its regulations,—I may add, knowing something more or less of what they were about, and of the difficulties they had to strucks with,—were so orderly, and so fortunate, that the locality of the power vested in the Compals, acting as judges, to "fine," "imprison," and "send home," was never put to the test.

Now, every body goes to the Levant, and any body, so disposed, may, as it should seem, do wrong with imposity a state of operating which ought not to be permitted to

continue.

I submit that the whole matter may be disposed of under these two heads,

Consular Jurisdiction.

Consular Instructions.

The draft of the Bill to be laid before Parliament propesses to enable Ris Majesty to make regulations touching the authority of the Consuls over British subjects. So. for well: but might it not most usefully go further? I mean further than your Lordship (having the doubts of the law officers in view) may have thought that those regulations should go. And might it not, in order to obviote unnecessary alarm and discussion, be entitled a Bill to Resour Double, by, and among other things enact, that in consideration of the peculiar character of the peculiar and government of Turkey and Egypt, which at all times has rendered it impossible to apply to their courts of justice for the decision of disputes arising between British subjects, His Majorty's Consuls be, when called upon, authorized to set as judges, as hitherto supposed to be, and in execution of their sentences, proceed to fine, imprison, or send home in costody such defaulters and delinquents as they may have had to deal

Limits to fines and imprisonment might be fixed.

An appeal to English law at Malta, from consular decisions in the Levant, as suggested by Consul-General Campbell, might be provided for.

Without unquestionable authority to enforce, the Consul cannot act usefully as a

judge. He had better be quite silent.

But with such authority, published with the assumpty of an Act of Parliament, to these most likely to be restrained by it. I doubt not that he would be more rarely called upon to not judicially, inasmoch as litigious and fraudulent delitors, finding themselves, even in Turkey, to be within the reach of justice, taight come into early,

Indeed, my Lord, there is no fact of which I am more convinced than this, that if you would make the best possible provision for the ascurity, present and future, of Braish property in the Louist sparest the franks of British swindlers, and prevent Turkey from becoming a sunctamy, not only for them, but for runnways of the like character from Britain, you must strongthen the heads of your Canada.

Thus much for Civil yerschemes. Criminal jurisdiction would need hardly to be

noticed, if, as formerly, we were wholly British ; but since the Maltese have become our follow subjects, and the Iceians been protected as such, we have become, not unfrequently, manderers in Turkey, to the diagrace of our national character in the estimation of the people of the country. Effectual measures ought to be attempted for the purpose of restenising the ferocity of those people.

Some flagrant instances of courser, with impunity, here been reported to your

Sir Robert Liston, on his return from his conbusty in 1872, mentioned one, doubly atencions, to me. He was very argent with us to move His Majesty's Government on the subject. The late Lord Londonderry was spoken with; he perceived deficulties which he could not one his way through; he showed no inclination to stir; he did nothing.

#### Consider Instructions.

The grand desideratum of the Consula, by which they hope to be directed in all possible cases, and to be shielded from responsibility.

Nevertheless, I would postpone the mass, even with the mass of suggestions in

hand, which the gentlemen, whose opinion have been saked, will furnish.

My Lord, I exence but look back to the administration of the Company, which was as simple as it was quiet, and of long durance. Knowing the impossibility of prorating for every contingency, it never gave detailed codes of matractions; its policy was to avoid doing so; nationed with a few plans, general rules, it left the application to the discretion and integrity of the Consult; on their responsibility, which the Company considered the best accurity for the temperate administration of justice.

But I am told that the present times are not like those to which I have alluded. I

admit they are use, and yet I must perceive any other material difference bearing upon this part of the question, then that the Consula are more frequently called upon to act

now, than formerty.

After all, my Lord, you need only logislate for extreme cases, probably even in these times, of rare occurrence, the Consul's every-day court, being, as Vice-Consul Brant very properly terms it, one of conciliation, rather than of formal justice.

But if your Lordship thinks there must be a code of instructions, without any hesitation I advise that Consul-General Curtwright be directed to consult all the foreign codes in use at Constantisople, and then to prepare and forward a draft of one adapted to our occasions, for your Lordship's consideration. In my opinion he is, beyond all comparison, the best qualified man that could may where be found for the execution of

In the mean time, not neglecting the Act of Parliament, which I would charge with all the graver matter, I would direct the old instructions and bye-laws of the Company, excepting of course such as become extinct with it, I should rather say except such as only related to the concerns of the corporation-convoluges, treasurers, etc., to be the rule for the present. They are the rule, I perceive, but I think such direction would encourage the Consuls

Thus it is that I would dispose of the whole matter.

I have the honour to be, with respect, My Lord,

Your Lordship's most abedient, humble servant, (Signed) GEORGE LID GEORGE LIDDELL

To the Right Honourghie The Viscount Palmerston, &c. he, &c.

(3.)-LETTER from Turkey Merchants on the Counsian Jurisdiction in the Levant.

My Long.

London, 29th Jamesry, 1836.

We have carefully perused the documents submitted to us by your Lordship, respecting the powers exercised by British Cunsuls in the Levent.

to appears to us that the practice and defects of the present system have been ably developed in the several reports of the Consuls, who have judiciously adverted at the same time to the practice of other nations in the Lerant, the like privileges being conceiled by the Porte to all European States connected with Turkey by treaty. We have assertively weighed the remedies recommended in those reports to your Lordship's consideration, for the future regulation of the consular offices, and we are of opinion, upon a review of the whole subject, that the detailed suggestions of Mr. Consul-General Cartwright, consider with those of Mr. Consul Brant, of Smyrns, and of Mr. Vice-Cunand Brant, of Trebisond, are, with medicestions, well adapted for practice in the second series of the second series. in the Levant, where the duties of Consula are so wately different from those in any other country. In framing new regulations, this marked difference should constantly be borne in mind by jurisconsults. In all European states, Heriah subjects are emenable to the laws of the country where they reside, and the jurisdiction of Country is extremely limited. But in the Ottoman domains, British subjects are not amounted to the local government; they are placed by treaty under the British Consult, in cronsult as well as civil cases. Rence the anonalous and archaeus duties of Consult in the Levent, who are called on to exercise the functions of police magistrates and of judges, as well as being the protectors of the persons, the commerce, and shapping interests of His

It is quite obvious that British law is totally isapplicable to the local circumstances of Turkey; but any modification that may be advisable, will be preferable to being subject to Turkish law; and all who reside in the Levant, though teracious of the valuable privileges conceded by the Porte, are assuible of the necessity of a peculiar code of regulations for the government of His Majesty's subjects within the Ottoman

domini In reference to Mr. Consul Brust's suggestions, we beg leave to offer a few

We are of opinion that it will tend to prevent much unaccessary higation, if the Coosal has power to decide, without appeal, all differences submitted to him under the value of £100—(one has fred possels storing.)

That is cases of bankruptey, the value of personal effects to be retained by the bankrupt should be £20, instead of £5 storling.

That no debtor shall be imprisoned for a less sum that £5 storling.

That the power in imprisoned is case of mindutenessor, should, in accravated cases.

That the power to imprison, in case of misdemescor, should, in aggravated cases,

extend beyond the period of three months. That is crimical cases, we are also of opinion that the power of the Costal is too limited, and according to the present practice, great criminals may too easily escape parishment, the Consul's ambority not extending beyond the infliction of in-

The sending of criminals to Main for trial, has been shown to be useless (as the law now exists) in consequence of the difficulty of complying with the law there, reed wars evidence being alone received; and the courts may not feel themselves competent in take cognitance of offences committed in Turkey; but these obstacles are not insuperable.

In any alterations which His Majesty Government may intend to adopt with regard to the courts of Malta, we most respectfully offer to your Lordship's consideration, whether some regulation may not be made to meet the emiting difficulty, as respects the trial of persons guilty of criminal acts in Turkey, which are beyond the authority of Consula to punish. The suggestions of Lieutenant-Colonel Campbell, His Majesty's Agent and Counti-General in Egypt, appear antitled, on this point, to

We submit to your Lurdship, that when evid once evidence cannot be forwarded to Malta, that the Council should be empowered to summon four or six respectable persons, to assert him in taking evidence, both for and against the prisoner, in his presence, which

evidence to be on outh, and verified by the Consul and his assistants.

Acting in a measure like the grand jury here, they will determine as to the case being or not being of a nature to be tried by a higher tribunal; and in the event of their considering the party to be guilty, the proceedings, verified by the Consul, &c., as before stated, to accompany the prisoner to Make, and be received by the Consul, &c., as before stated, to accompany the prisoner to Make, and be received by the consults as evidence; not, however, to the exclusion of any risd roce evidence which may subsequently be obtained. A clause enjoining ships of war bound to Make to receive much crimenals on board, to be delivered to the proper authorities in that island, would be highly expedient; and in default of such conveyances, the Consult should possess the power of sanding criminals by metrohant vessels, on payment of a proper consideration.

by merchant vensels, on payment of a proper consideration.

The privilege which British subjects sajoy in Turkey, of being tried by their own Consuls, is too reluxble in any case to forego; for once relinquished, it will not easily be recovered; and to deliver over any prisoner to the Tarks, would be at once to determine

his fate.

We have the honour, do-

8. BRIGGS. N. WM. KERR. WM. MALTASS. WM. TOMLINSON. JN. NICKOLS. J. W. BODDINGTON.

Viscount Palmerston, G.C.B.